

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/678,445	10/03/2003	George J. Kluth	0180146	5339
25700	7590 10/22/2004		EXAMINER	
FARJAMI & FARJAMI LLP 26522 LA ALAMEDA AVENUE, SUITE 360			TRAN, THIEN F	
	AMEDA AVENUE, SC EJO, CA 92691	11E 360	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2811	
		•	DATE MAILED: 10/22/200	4
		<b>⟨</b>		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		A - C - AC - AL		-Qhu			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/678,445	KLUTH ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Thien F Tran	2811				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address -	-			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  rty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communica  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.			
Status				•			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	September 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	<del>-</del> ''						
	closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.I	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-8 and 16-20 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-8 and 16-20 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)	0) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
—	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152	•			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119		·				
a)[	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in a prity documents have been ou (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  n received in this National Stage				
Attachmen	• •	4) 🔲 Intension.	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

## DETAILED ACTION

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 1-8 and 16-20 in the reply filed on 09/22/2004 is acknowledged.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 4-5, 7-8, 16 and 18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being anticipated by Arghavani et al. (US 6,620,713).

Arghavani et al. discloses the claimed method of forming a field-effect transistor 200 on a substrate 210 (see Figure 3), said method comprising steps of: forming a high-k dielectric layer 212 over said substrate; forming a first polysilicon layer 214 over said high-k dielectric layer, said first polysilicon layer 214 being formed by utilizing a precursor that does not comprise hydrogen.

Regarding claim 2, Arghavani further discloses a step of forming a second polysilicon layer 216 over said first polysilicon layer.

Regarding claim 4, said second polysilicon layer 216 is formed by utilizing a precursor that comprises said hydrogen, said first polysilicon layer 214 preventing said hydrogen from interacting with said high-k dielectric layer 212 (col. 6, lines 45-59).

Application/Control Number: 10/678,445

Art Unit: 2811

Regarding claim 5, said first polysilicon layer 214 has a thickness of between approximately 50.0 Angstroms and approximately 200.0 Angstroms.

Regarding claim 7, said high-k dielectric layer 212 is selected from the group consisting of hafnium oxide and zirconium oxide.

Regarding claim 8, said step of forming said first polysilicon layer 214 over said high-k dielectric layer 212 comprises utilizing a physical vapor deposition (col. 6, lines 25-27).

Regarding claim 16, Arghavani et al. discloses the claimed method of forming a field-effect transistor 200 on a substrate 210 (see Figure 2A), said method comprising steps of: forming a high-k dielectric layer 212 over said substrate; forming a gate electrode layer 215 of polysilicon over said high-k dielectric layer, said gate electrode layer being formed by utilizing a precursor that does not comprise hydrogen.

Regarding claim 18, said step of forming said gate electrode layer 215 over said high-k dielectric layer 212 comprises utilizing a physical vapor deposition (col. 4, lines 47-49).

Regarding claim 19, said high-k dielectric layer 212 is selected from the group consisting of hafnium oxide and zirconium oxide.

Regarding claim 20, said gate electrode layer 215 has a thickness of between approximately 1000 to 2000 angstroms.

Claims 1, 3, 16 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Halliyal et al. (US 6,451,641).

Halliyal et al. discloses the claimed method of forming a field-effect transistor 100 on a substrate 102 (see Figure 1), said method comprising steps of: forming a high-k dielectric layer 108 over said substrate; forming a gate electrode layer 110 of polysilicon over said high-k dielectric layer, said polysilicon gate electrode layer 110 being formed by utilizing a precursor that does not comprise hydrogen.

Regarding claims 3 and 17, the step of forming the polysilicon gate electrode layer 110 over the high-k dielectric layer 108 comprises utilizing a silicon tetrachloride precursor (col. 7, lines 25-31) in an atomic layer deposition ALCVD process (col. 9, lines 15-18).

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Arghavani et al. (US 6,620,713).

Arghavani does not specifically disclose the gate electrode stack (214, 216) of Figure 3 having a thickness as claimed. However, Arghavani et al. discloses the gate electrode 215 of the transistor (Figure 2A) having a thickness of approximately 1000 to 2000 angstroms depending on application. Therefore, forming the gate electrode stack (214, 216) having the thickness of approximately 1000 to 2000 angstroms as claimed would have been prima facie obvious. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one

Art Unit: 2811

having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the gate electrode stack having the claimed range of thickness, since it has been held that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art. In re Aller, 105 USPQ 233. In re Daily, 93 USPQ 47 (CCPA 1966), the court held that changes in size and shape of parts of an invention in the absence of an unexpected result involves routine skill in the art. Additionally, In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984), cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thien F Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-1665. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM - 5:00PM Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie C Lee can be reached on (571) 272-1732. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/678,445

Art Unit: 2811

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

tt

October 20, 2004

THENTDAN

THIENTRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER